IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

ANTHONY MUSTAFAH CHISELY, #280-358*

Petitioner

*

v. C

CIVIL ACTION NO. DKC-05-1740

*

WAYNE HILL, and

THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE

STATE OF MARYLAND

Respondents

MEMORANDUM

Currently confined at the Maryland House of Correction-Annex in Jessup, Maryland, Petitioner filed the instant 28 U.S.C. § 2254 habeas corpus application attacking his 1998 conviction for car jacking and theft entered in 1998 by the Circuit Court for Prince George's County, Maryland. Paper No. 1. For the reasons set out herein, the court concludes that the pending application for habeas corpus relief must be dismissed without prejudice at this time.

Under the Antiterrorism and Effective Death Penalty Act of 1996, Petitioner may file a second or successive habeas corpus petition only if he has moved the appropriate circuit court for an order authorizing the district court to consider his application. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)(3); *Evans v. Smith*, 220 F.3d 306, 323 (4th Cir.) (2000). The pending application is beyond doubt a second and successive one which Petitioner has filed in this Court. On January 14, 2004, he filed his first federal habeas corpus application concerning his 1998 convictions. On April 8, 2004, the Petition was denied and dismissed as time barred. *See Chiseley v. Paguese*, Civil Action No. DKC-04-121 (D. Md. 2004).

Before this court may consider the pending Petition, the United States Court of Appeals for the

Fourth Circuit must enter an order authorizing this court to consider Petitioner's application for habeas

corpus relief. 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)(3)(A); see In re Avery W. Vial, 115 F.3d 1192, 1197-98 (4th Cir.

1997) (en banc). Undoubtedly, Petitioner has not complied with this "gatekeeper" provision. Therefore,

his pending application for habeas corpus relief must be dismissed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)(3).

The United States Court of Appeals for the Fourth Circuit has set forth instructions for the filing of

a motion to obtain the aforementioned authorization Order. The procedural requirements and deadlines

for filing the motion are extensive. This court has attached hereto a packet of instructions promulgated by

the Fourth Circuit which addresses the comprehensive procedure to be followed should Petitioner wish to

seek authorization to file a successive petition with the appellate court. It is to be emphasized that Petitioner

must file the motion with the Fourth Circuit and obtain authorization to file his successive petition before this

court may examine his claims.

For the reasons set forth herein, this court is without jurisdiction to review the instant Petition, and

accordingly the Petition shall be dismissed. A separate Order shall be entered reflecting the ruling set out

herein.

7/5/05 /s/
Date DEBORAH K. CHASANOW

United States District Court Judge

¹ 28 U.S.C. § 2244(b)(3)(A) provides that "[b]efore a second or successive application permitted by this section is filed in the district court, the applicant shall move in the appropriate court of appeals for an order authorizing the district court to consider the application."

2